

KING COUNTY WASTEWATER TREATMENT DIVISION

- M STREET TRUNK REHABILITATION PROGRESSIVE DB PROJECT

1. The answers to application questions 4 and 6 explain the establishment of an Alternative Delivery Committee (ADC) that both seem to indicate the Committee only considered various Alternative delivery methods but does not indicate that traditional Design-Bid-Build was considered.

Please clarify the evaluation criteria or scoring matrix used, and how (or if) traditional Design-Bid-Build was considered.

Yes, Design-Bid-Build was considered as part of the selection process. The County adopted an evaluation process to determine if projects are suitable for alternative delivery, which includes two-steps. Step 1 determines if alternative delivery is a feasible delivery method for the project. Step 2 evaluates Traditional Design-Bid-Build (DBB), Progressive Design-Build (PDB), and General Contractor/Construction Manager (GC/CM) delivery methods to determine the best or optimal delivery method for the project using the following seven criteria: Project Complexity/Innovation, Project Risk, Level of Design, Project Cost, Staff Experience & Availability, Project Delivery Schedule, and Level of Oversight and Control. PDB was rated more highly in four out of seven of the criteria with a total rating of 7.2 (PDB) over 5.6 (DBB).

Type Selection Evaluation Criteria

	Weight	Weighted Average Group Rating		
		PDB	DBB	GC/CM
Level of Oversight and Control	18%	1.1	1.3	1.2
Project Risk	17%	1.2	0.7	1.2
Level of Design	12%	0.9	0.7	0.9
Project Delivery Schedule	21%	1.7	1.0	1.4
Project Cost	8%	0.6	0.4	0.5
Staff Experience & Availability	11%	0.7	0.7	0.6
Project Complexity / Innovation	13%	0.9	0.7	0.9
Total		7.2	5.6	6.8